arXiv:1409.0671v1 [cond-mat.dis-nn] 2 Sep 2014

# Universal scaling form of AC response in variable range hopping

Joakim Bergli and Yuri M. Galperin

*Physics Department, University of Oslo P.O.Box 1048 Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway*

**Abstract.** We have studied the AC response of a hopping model in the variable range hopping regime by dynamical Monte Carlo simulations. We find that the conductivity as function of frequency follows a universal scaling law. We also compare the numerical results to various theoretical predictions. Finally, we study the form of the conducting network as function of frequency.

**Keywords:** Hopping transport, universal AC conduction
**PACS:** 71.23.Cq,72.20.Ee,

## INTRODUCTION

There are many examples of disordered solids that display a universal scaling form of the AC conductance [1]. This is seen if one considers the conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$ as function of frequency $\omega$ at different temperatures. It is found that the curves for different temperatures collapse on a single universal curve if scaled according to the following equation:

$$\frac{\sigma(\omega)}{\sigma(0)} = F\left(\frac{\omega}{T\sigma(0)}\right) \tag{1}$$

Such a scaling implies that the only relevant timescale in the system is $1/T\sigma(0)$.

Several models displaying this behavior have been studied [1], including hopping models. However, electron-electron interaction was neglected and only the regime of nearest neighbor hopping was considered. It is therefore interesting to see if an interacting system displaying variable range hopping (VRH) will possess the same universality property.

## MODEL

We have studied the standard 2D lattice model of hopping transport [2, 3]. It consist of a square lattice of $L \times L$ sites. Each of the sites can be either empty or occupied (double occupancy is not allowed). The simulations were performed for the filling factor of $\nu = 1/2$, so that the number of electrons is half the number of sites. Disorder is introduced by assigning a random energy in the range $[-U,U]$ to each site (in our numerics we have used $U = e^2/d$ where $d$ is the lattice constant). Each site is also given a compensating charge $\nu e$ so that the overall system is charge neutral. The charges interact via the Coulomb interaction. In the following the unit of energy is chosen as the Coulomb energy of unit charges on nearest neighbor sites, $e^2/d$. The unit of temperature is then $e^2/dk$. It is known that this model shows VRH DC conduction according to the Efros-Shklovskii law, $\sigma(0) \sim e^{-(T_0/T)^{1/2}}$, in a rather broad temperature range [4, 5].

To simulate the time evolution we used the dynamic Monte Carlo method introduced in Ref. [4] for simulating DC transport. The only difference is that we apply an AC electric field $E = E_0 \cos \omega t$ and that, following Ref. [6], we use for the transition of an electron from site $i$ to site $j$ the formula

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \tau_0^{-1} e^{-2r_{ij}/\xi} \min\left(e^{-\Delta E/T}, 1\right) \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta E$ is the energy of the phonon and $r_{ij}$ is the distance between the sites. $\tau_0$ contains material dependent and energy dependent factors, which we approximate by their average value; we consider it as constant and its value, of the order of $10^{-12}$ s, is chosen as our unit of time. Consequently, $\omega$ is measured in units of $\tau_0^{-1}$ while the electric field




**FIGURE 1.** Left:Real part of AC conductivity as function of frequency. Right: The same data rescaled according to Eq. (1).

is measured in units of $e/d^2$. The algorithm works by starting from one configuration of electrons on the sites and then a random transition is chosen, weighted by the distance dependent part of the transition rate. Then the jump is accepted or rejected based on the energy dependent part of the transition rate. To each jump there is also associated a time, $\Delta t$, depending on the number of rejected trials before one is accepted [7]. This generates a random walk in the configuration space with statistical properties identical to the real time evolution of the system.

To extract the conductivity from the contributions of the individual jumps we do as follows. The current density is $j = E_0(\sigma \cos \omega t + \sigma_I \sin \omega t)$ which means that ignoring oscillating terms we will have

$$J_R = \int_0^t dt\, j(t) \cos \omega t = \frac{1}{2} E_0 \sigma t \qquad J_I = \int_0^t dt\, j(t) \sin \omega t = \frac{1}{2} E_0 \sigma_I t \tag{3}$$

The program will tell which jump took place and what time it took. Let $\Delta x_i$ be the distance jumped in the direction of the field, and $\Delta t_i$ be the time of jump number $i$. Then the current density is $j_i = \frac{\Delta x_i}{\Delta t_i}$ and we replace the integral (3) with the sum

$$J_R = \sum_i \Delta x_i \cos \omega t_i \tag{4}$$

where $t_i$ is the time at which jump $i$ took place. From this we get the conductivity $\sigma = \frac{2}{E_0 t} J_R$.

## NUMERICAL CONFIRMATION OF SCALING

We have simulated the response to an AC electric field as function of frequency for different temperatures in the VRH regime (parts of the numerical data were already presented in Ref. [9]). In most cases we used $L = 100$, which is sufficient for the DC conductivity to be well defined and sample independent. Only at frequencies $\omega > 1$ was it necessary to use larger samples as the number of electrons that will jump during one period of the field becomes small and the data are noisy if too small samples are used. We compared $L = 300$ and $L = 1000$ and found no significant difference, indicating that $L = 300$ is sufficient. In all cases we have chosen $E = T/10$ which is in the upper range of ohmic response and therefore gives a large signal to noise ratio.

The results are shown in Fig. 1 (left). The general trend is the same at all temperatures: at low frequencies, the conductivity is frequency independent. At some frequency it will start to increase, and then saturate at high frequencies (for all temperatures this happens around $\omega = 1$. Looking at Eq (2) and recalling that $\tau_0$ is our unit of time, we understand that this happens when the frequency is higher thatn the highest rate in the system). To check the scaling form, Eq. (1), we replot the same data in Fig. 1 (right), which shows $\sigma(\omega)/\sigma(0)$ as function of $\omega/T\sigma(0)$. As can be seen, the curves fall on a common universal curve up to the point where they show a trend to saturation,




**FIGURE 2.** Real part of AC conductivity as function of frequency with best fits for each model. The four models are: Effective Approximation (EMA), Eq. (13) of [1]; Percolation Path Approximation for a macroscopic model (PPAM)), Eq. (25) of [1]; Percolation Path Approximation for a hopping model (PPAH), Eq. (26) of [1]; Diffusion Cluster Approximation (DCA), Eq. (28) of [1]

$\omega \lesssim (10^{-2} - 10^{-1}) T\sigma(0)$ . Note that in this region the universal curve describes the values of $\sigma(\omega)$ differing by factor of $\sim 300$.

We see that we numerically confirm the scaling equation (1), but it is also interesting to see if the shape of the graph agrees with theoretical predictions. Many different predictions have been made for the form of $\sigma(\omega)$ for different models and in various frequency regimes, see Refs. [1, 8, 10, 11] and references therein. Some of these predictions conform to the scaling form (1) either exactly or approximately, and all rely on some approximate solution to the different models. We do not want to enter into a full description of the different theoretical graphs, but broadly speaking they fall into two groups. Those that rely on some type of effective or homogeneous medium approximation and those based on percolation theory. There seems to be general agreement on the overall physical picture. In the DC limit, there is the percolation cluster on which the current flows, and with the critical resistors determining the overall conductivity. As the frequency increases, the cluster breaks into smaller regions, and also clusters not on the percolation cluster starts to contribute to the conductivity. In the high frequency limit, only isolated pairs of sites with high rates are able to follow the oscillating field, and all traces of the percolation cluster are lost. It is therefore to be expected that effective medium approximations and expansions in small clusters will work better at high frequencies while percolation based methods are appropriate at low frequencies.

We have fitted our numerical data to four different predictions for the universal graph discussed in Ref. [1]. These are given by their eqs. (13), (25), (26) and (28). Each function has an undetermined scaling of the frequency which has to be fitted to the numerical points. That is, they are given as functions of $\alpha \frac{\omega}{T\sigma(0)}$ where $\alpha$ is an undetermined parameter. Figure 2 shows our numerical data together with the best fits to each model. The models were fitted as least squares fits to the logarithmic values of the $T = 0.03$ points, excluding $\omega = 1$ as this is where the curve saturates and deviates from the universal curve. Note that the DCA (Diffusion Cluster Approximation) in principle has another free parameter, the fractal dimension of some poorly defined "diffusion cluster". We have taken it as 1.35 as this was the best fitting value found from the numerics in Ref. [1]. By fitting to our data using this as an additional parameter we would very likely improve the fit.

As we can see, all the curves are reasonably close to the numerical data, even if some can be argued as better than others. It is interesting to note that the two best fitting curves are the Effective Medium Approximation (EMA) and the Percolation Path Approximation (PPAM) which are at the opposite extremes in the set of models. The first assumes a homogeneous effective medium, whole the second assumes that all transport at all frequencies takes place an the DC percolation cluster and that other regions never make a significant contribution to the current.

## ACTIVITY MAPS

It is interesting to study the size and shape of conducting clusters as the frequency changes. In the DC limit there is the percolation network. As the frequency increases, the percolation cluster should break up into smaller regions, and

**TABLE 1.** The number $|L^0|$ of links with nonzero $s_l$ as well as the number $|L^{S(0.8)}|$ of active links with $D = 0.8$ for different $\omega$.

| $\omega$ | 0 | $10^{-5}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-3}$ | $10^{-2}$ | $10^{-1}$ | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\lvert L^0\rvert$ | 59365 | 65921 | 65796 | 65891 | 65728 | 65612 | 65878 |
| $\lvert L^{S(0.8)}\rvert$ | 3493 | 3412 | 3581 | 3255 | 2466 | 1477 | 1079 |

areas not on the percolation cluster should start to contribute.

We will find the links which give large contributions to the current. For each link $l$ (that is, a connection between two specific sites in the system), we sum all the contributions to the sum (4) which comes from this link, let us call it $s_l$. This includes jumps in both directions, and in the DC case, jumps in one direction are positive and in the other negative. For AC it depends on the direction of the field at the time a jump is made. We find that most sites have at least some activity, and there are only a few sites which are completely frozen. Many of the links will only have a small number of jumps associated with it, or many jumps which are mainly thermally driven, and not related to the electric field. They will then give a small contribution to the sum (4). We want to exclude those links which do not give a large contribution to the sum (4) which can be written $J_R = \sum_l s_l$ where the sum is over all links in the system. Let $S$ be a threshold and ignore all links which have $|s_l| \leq S$. This means that we keep all that have a large absolute value, both positive and negative. Let $L^S$ be the set of links that are kept with a particular value of $S$, and define

$$J_R^S = \sum_{l \in L^S} s_l \tag{5}$$

We specify a number $D \in [0,1]$ and find $S(D)$ such that $J_R^S = DJ_R$. This means that we keep links such that the sum of the contributions from those links is a fraction $D$ of the total. We find that $D = 0.8$ gives a good balance between reducing the number of active links while still keeping the important ones. In the following we will show results at $D = 0.8$, but the exact value should not affect the results too strongly.

We look at the temperature $T = 0.05$ and simulate $2 \cdot 10^8$ jumps at each frequency. We plot each link in the set $L^{S(0.8)}$, that is, all active links with $S$ chosen such that $D = 0.8$. Links in blue have $s_l < 0$ while those in red have $s_l > 0$. Figure 3 shows such activity maps for different frequencies. We can see that there is considerable overlap between the maps, but also many links which differ. At high frequencies, there is a tendency for smaller clusters to form, as expected.

We observe that as $\omega$ increases there are less and less links with $s_l < 0$ (blue color), and for the highest frequencies there are no links which have a negative $s_l$. We can understand this by the fact that the percolation cluster in DC is a complex structure where the current in many places has to go opposite of the overall current direction in order for the current to pass around difficult regions. As the frequency increases, the need for this goes away and links with negative $s_l$ become less important. We also find that the values of the largest $s_l$ increase for large frequencies, and that the value of $S(D)$ for fixed $D = 0.8$ also increases.

We can also see that the total number of links with $s_l > S(D)$ (the size $|L^{S(D)}|$ of the set $L^{S(D)}$) decreases with increasing frequency. However the number of links with nonzero $s_l$ (the size $|L^0|$ of the set $L^0$) does not change significantly with the frequency.

Let us compare the AC maps with the DC map which shows the full percolation network. We plot in Figure 4 the comparison. Links which are active in both the DC and AC maps are shown in black. Links in blue are only active in the DC map and those in red only in the AC map. The width of the lines are given by the formula $\lceil \ln(|s_l| - S(D) + 1) \rceil$ so that lines with large $s_l$ are given larger width, but only logarithmically so. For the black lines, the thickness is determined from the value of $s_l$ in the AC map. We can see that at low frequencies, when the conductivity is close to the DC value, the structure is similar to the DC one. Most of the links which are not present in both maps (red or blue) are thin lines which shows that most of the difference comes from small fluctuations in the numbers and does not significantly change the structure. As the frequency becomes so high that the conductivity starts to increase, we observe that there are parts of the percolation cluster that are no longer active. At the highest frequencies, there also appear isolated pairs of sites outside of the percolation cluster which give large contributions. However, it seems that even at $\omega = 1$ there are still clusters of more than two sites on the percolation cluster which are active, while there hardly at any frequency are apparent isolated clusters of more than two active sites. Also, at high frequencies there are more black than red links, which means that most of the transport still resides on the percolation cluster.




**FIGURE 3.** Links in the set $L_S$ for $D = 0.8$ and $\omega = 0, 10^{-3}, 10^{-1}, 1$.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

JB thanks M. Palassini for hospitality and useful discussions.

## REFERENCES

1. Jeppe C. Dyre and Thomas B. Schrøder Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 873 (2000).
2. J. H. Davies, P. A. Lee, and T. M. Rice, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 758 (1982).
3. B. I. Shklovskii and A. L. Efros, Electronic properties of doped semiconductors (Springer, Berlin, 1984).
4. D. N. Tsigankov and A. L. Efros, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 176602 (2002).




**FIGURE 4.** Comparison of the sets $L_S$ for $D = 0.8$ and $\omega = 10^{-5}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-1}, 1$ with the corresponding DC set. Black links are present in both sets, while red only in the AC and blue only in the DC set.


5. J. Bergli, A. M. Somoza, and M. Ortuño Phys. Rev. B 84, 174201 (2011).
6. K. Tenelsen and M. Schreiber, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 13287 (1995). A. Díaz-Sánchez et. al., Phys. Rev. B **59**, 910 (1999).
7. D. N. Tsigankov, E. Pazy, B. D. Laikhtman, and A. L. Efros, Phys. Rev. B, **6 8** 184205 (2003)
8. H. Böttger and V. V. Bryksin, Hopping conduction in solids (VCH, Weinheim, 1985).
9. I.L. Drichko, A.M. Diakonov, V.A. Malysh, I.Yu. Smirnov, E.S. Koptev, A.I. Nikiforov, N.P. Stepina, Y.M. Galperin, and J. Bergli. Nonlinear high-frequency hopping conduction in two-dimensional arrays of ge-in-si quantum dots: Acoustic methods. *Solid State Communications*, 152(10):860 – 863, 2012.
10. I. P. Zvyagin, Phys. Stat. Sol (b) **97** 143 (1980).
11. A. Hunt, Phil. Mag. B **64**, 579 (1991).